

Homework #5 (Programming)

Due April 21 (Friday) midnight 11:59pm

In this homework, you will implement several algorithms in Matlab or Python whichever you prefer. You should turn in a zip folder (IE521_HW5_lastname_firstname.zip) to the TA, which should contain all output figures and source codes.

Problem 1: Logistic Regression

Logistic regression is another popular model in machine learning used for classification. Mathematically, given a training dataset of m points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)$ where $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^n$ stands for the feature vector and $y_i \in \{1, -1\}$ stands for two classes, the model aims to solve the following optimization problem:

$$\min_w f(w) := \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(-y_i w^T x_i)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \quad (\text{P})$$

where the parameter $\lambda > 0$. Note that this problem is indeed an unconstrained convex minimization problem with a twice-differentiable and strongly convex objective.

Exercise 1.1 (Gradient Descent) Implement the simplest Gradient Descent:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma_k \nabla f(w_k)$$

where $\gamma_k > 0$ is the stepsize. Use fixed stepsize $\gamma_k = \gamma \leq 1/L$, where L is such that $\nabla^2 f(w) \leq L \cdot \mathbf{I}$. In fact, we can verify that $L \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\max}(X^T X) + \lambda$ for the objective in (P). Terminate the algorithm when the criterion $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ is met. Your implementation should produce a function that can be called as

$$[\mathbf{w_opt}, \mathbf{f_hist}] = \text{gradient_descent}(X, \mathbf{y}, \text{lambda}, \mathbf{w0}, \text{gamma}, \text{eps})$$

where

- **w_opt** is the output approximate solution
- **f_hist** is the history of function values $\{f(x_k), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
- **X** is the input data matrix ($m \times n$), **y** is the label vector ($m \times 1$), **lambda** is the regularization parameter
- **w0** is the initial point, **gamma** is the fixed stepsize, **eps** is the targeted accuracy

Exercise 1.2 (Newton Method) Implement the basic Newton method:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - [\nabla^2 f(w_k)]^{-1} \nabla f(w_k)$$

Terminate the algorithm when the criterion $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ is met. Your implementation should produce a function that can be called as

$$[\mathbf{w_opt}, \mathbf{f_hist}] = \text{Newton}(X, \mathbf{y}, \text{lambda}, \mathbf{w0}, \text{eps})$$

Exercise 1.3 (Test on Real Dataset) Apply the two algorithms on WDBC dataset provided in HW 3. Set $\lambda = 1$, $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

- Try different stepsize in Gradient Descent, and observe the behavior of the algorithm.
- Try different random initialization in Newton Method, and observe the behavior of the algorithm.

Now initialize both algorithms with same starting point, and generate a plot that compares the trajectory of objective values from both algorithms.

Problem 2: Linear Program

Suppose we want to solve the linear program

$$\min \{c^T x : Ax \leq b\}.$$

Exercise 2.1 (Damped Newton Method) Consider the unconstrained self-concordant minimization problem that approximates the linear program

$$\min_x f(x) := c^T x - \sum_{i=1}^m \log(b_i - a_i^T x) \quad (SCP)$$

Implement the damped Newton method to solve (SCP):

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} [\nabla^2 f(w_k)]^{-1} \nabla f(w_k)$$

where $\lambda_k = \sqrt{\nabla f(w_k)^T [\nabla^2 f(w_k)]^{-1} \nabla f(w_k)}$. Terminate the algorithm when Newton decrement $\lambda_f(x) \leq \epsilon$. Your implementation should produce a function that can be called as

```
[x_opt, f_hist] = damped_Newton(A, b, c, x0, eps)
```

Now generate a problem instance by choosing A, b, c randomly with $m = 100, n = 50$, say

$$a_{ij} \sim N(0, 1), b_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1), c_j \sim N(0, 1), i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$$

- First run the algorithm with initial point $x_0 = 0$ and accuracy $\epsilon = 10^{-10}$, and get an approximate value for f^* .
- Then run the algorithm with initial point $x_0 = 0$ and accuracy $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$. Generate a plot that shows the approximate function gap $f(x_k) - f^*$ versus iteration, and another plot that shows the Newton decrement λ_k versus iteration.