

## Homework #4

Due April 5 (Wednesday) at the beginning of class  
Please show all work and intermediate steps. Late submission will lead to 0 credit.

### Problem 1: Power Cone

Besides the second order cone and positive semidefinite cone, power cone is also very popular and can be used to model many convex programs. For a given  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) > 0$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$ , the power cone is defined as

$$K_\alpha = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}_+^m \times \mathbf{R} : |y| \leq x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}\}.$$

**Exercise 1.1 (Cone)** Show that  $K_\alpha$  is a cone.

**Exercise 1.2 (Dual Cone)** Follow the steps below to show that the dual cone of power cone is

$$K_\alpha^* = P_\alpha := \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathbf{R}_+^m \times \mathbf{R} : |v| \leq \left(\frac{u_1}{\alpha_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{u_m}{\alpha_m}\right)^{\alpha_m} \right\}.$$

(a) Use Jensen's inequality to prove the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbf{R}_+^m.$$

(b) Use the above inequality to show that  $P_\alpha \subseteq K_\alpha^*$ .

(c) Show that  $P_\alpha \supseteq K_\alpha^*$ .

*Hint: consider the particular point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  with  $\bar{x}_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{u_i}$  and  $\bar{y} = -\text{sgn}(v) \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{u_i}\right)^{\alpha_i}$ .*

### Problem 2: SOCP Reformulations

**Exercise 2.1 (Log-Chebyshev Problem)** In HW 1, we have shown that the following optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & f(x) := \max_{k=1, \dots, n} |\log(a_k^T x) - \log(b_k)| \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_k \in \mathbf{R}^m, b_k \in \mathbf{R}, k = 1, \dots, n$  are given, is equivalent to the following convex optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & \max_{k=1, \dots, n} h(a_k^T x / b_k) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(u) = \max(u, 1/u)$  for  $u > 0$ . Now reformulate the above problem into an SOCP. Use the fact that any hyperbolic constraints

$$z^2 \leq xy, x \geq 0, y \geq 0$$

can be rewritten as an second order conic constraint

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 2z \\ x - y \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq x + y.$$

**Exercise 2.2 (Sparse Group Lasso)** Reformulate the sparse group lasso model as an SOCP:

$$\min_w \left\{ \|Xw - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^p \|w_i\|_2 \right\}$$

where  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$  are the input data,  $w = [w_1; \dots; w_p]$  is the decision variable, with block  $w_i \in \mathbf{R}^{n_i}$  and  $n_1 + \dots + n_p = n$ .

## Problem 3: SDP Reformulations

**Exercise 3.1 (Spectral Norm Minimization)** The spectral norm of a general matrix  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$  is defined as the largest singular value of  $X$ , i.e. the square root of the largest eigenvalue of the positive-semidefinite matrix  $X^T X$ :

$$\|X\| := \sigma_{\max}(X) = \lambda_{\max}(X^T X)$$

Reformulate the spectral norm minimization problem below as an SDP,

$$\min_{x \in \mathbf{R}^p} \sigma_{\max} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p x_i A_i - B \right)$$

where  $A_1, \dots, A_p, B \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ .

*Hint: Use Schur complement lemma.*

**Exercise 3.2 (Inverse Matrix Minimization)** Reformulate the following minimization problem as an SDP:

$$\min_x f(x) := \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} c_k^T \mathcal{A}(x)^{-1} c_k$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i A_i - B$ , and  $A_1, \dots, A_p, B \in S^n$ . Assume the domain of the objective  $f$  is  $\text{dom}(f) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^p : \mathcal{A}(x) \succ 0\}$ .

## Problem 4: SDP Duality

We denote by  $S_r(A)$  the sum of the largest  $r$  eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix  $A \in S^n$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ), i.e.,

$$S_r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i(A)$$

where  $\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A)$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ . In Lecture 15, we have shown that

$$S_1(A) = \max_X \{ \text{Tr}(AX) : \text{Tr}(X) = 1, X \succeq 0 \}.$$

Now use similar argument to show that

$$S_k(A) = \max_X \{ \text{Tr}(AX) : \text{Tr}(X) = r, 0 \preceq X \preceq I \}.$$